

# SMNA 2022 - Lecture 8B-maxi - Helium-burning

## Nuclear Reaction Rates in Models of Massive Stars

---

*We are going to walk through how to leverage STARLIB temperature-dependent nuclear reaction rate uncertainties in models of massive stars. We will work to focus on core He-burning reactions and determine their impact of the progenitors of core-collapse supernovae.*

This exercise is based on the ApJ article **The Impact of Nuclear Reaction Rate Uncertainties on the Evolution of Core-collapse Supernova Progenitors** which can be found [online](#).

### Learning objectives

- Methods for utilizing STARLIB reaction rate library.
- Producing temperature-dependent uncertainty reaction rates.
- Analyzing MESA output for models using *many* sampled rates.
- Impact of He-burning rate uncertainties in massive stars.

---

## Task 0 - Getting Started

1. Download the work directory [8B-maxi.zip](#)!
2. Ensure that you can compile and run work directory:

```
1 | cd pgstar
2 | ./mk && ./rn
```

This example will be evolving a  $15 M_{\odot}$  star using a simplified network starting from core H depletion! Note the first model will take a few hundred retries to converge. That is okay!

## Task 1 - Using randomly sampled nuclear reaction rates with STARLIB

---

STARLIB provides temperature-dependent estimates for the uncertainty of the nuclear reaction rate. The library provides the median rate ( $\langle\sigma v\rangle_{\text{med.}}$ ) and factor uncertainty (f.u.) - a measure of the estimated 1 sigma uncertainty.

$$\langle\sigma v\rangle_{\text{samp.}} = \langle\sigma v\rangle_{\text{med.}} f.u. p_{ij}.$$

Here,  $p_{ij}$  is a single Gaussian deviate drawn from a normal distribution of standard deviation of unity and mean of zero. See Equation 4 of [Sallaska et al 2013](#). The  $i$  index corresponds to do with the number of samples/Gaussian deviates and  $j$  corresponds to the number of rates sampled in the grid.

The complete STARLIB library can be found [online](#). The website provides a tool `reduceNet.f` to truncate the library. [PySTARLIB](#) has also been used in the past to search for and extract large batches of rates from the STARLIB library. For this exercise, I have pulled the `r_he4_he4_he4_to_c12` and `r_c12_ag_o16` rates from STARLIB and placed them in `supp/starlib_raw_rates`.

Using this information we can produce a *sampled* reaction rate that is within the uncertainty bounds given by f.u.

First, navigate to `supp` and run:

```
1 | python example.py
```

using  $N = 1$  sample. This should produce two sampled reaction rates in `rate_tables` and will tell you the  $p_{ij}$  value used. Because we are sampling two rates with  $N=1$  sample, in `rate_variation_factors.txt` we will have  $p_{1,0}$  and  $p_{1,1}$  and their values in column 2. See `rate_list.txt` for which rate corresponds to which.

1. Once the new sampled rates are produced and in `rate_tables`, enable them as we did in Task 2 or 8B-mini using tables and point to the location of your newly generated rates.
2. Set a custom stopping condition based on the central mass fraction of  $\text{he4}=0.5$ .
3. Run the stellar model to this stopping condition and record the `center_c12` at this point [here](#).

## Task 2 - Using randomly sampled nuclear reaction rates with STARLIB - end of He-burning

---

1. Rerun `example.py` to produce two new sampled rates.

2. Change the stopping condition to central mass fraction of  $\text{he4}=1\text{d-6}$ .
3. Rerun the model and record the value [here](#).
4. Discuss the results as they are populated.

## Task 3 (Optional) - Determining the key reaction rates

---

A method for computing if a rate has an impact on a measured quantity is the [Spearman Rank Order Correlation Coefficient](#).

1. Open `compute_sroc.ipynb` and compute  $r_S$  for  $A = p\{1,0\}$  and then for  $A = p\{1,1\}$  using the values from the spreadsheet for Task 1 (at  $X(\text{he4})=0.5$ ).
2. Repeat for the values computed in Task 2.
3. Compare your results with those found in the bottom left subplot of Figure 11 from [Fields et al 2018 ApJS](#).